

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,976	07/24/2003	Valerie A. Driscoll	1696	
75	90 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
Ted Masters			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
23344 8th Street	t	•		
Newhall, CA 9	91321	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3612	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		10/625,976	DRISCOLL, VA	JEDIE A		
Office Action Summary		Examiner				
	-		Art Unit	1.11.7		
The MAILING DATE of to	his communication app	Hilary Gutman ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence	addraga		
Period for Reply		sare on the cover speet with	ine correspondence	address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is the second of the period for reply is specified above, and the period for reply within the set or extended for the period for the per	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 late of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply the maximum statutory period wi I period for reply will, by statute, n three months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs	by be timely filed O) days will be considered tire Some mailing date of this	nely. s communication.		
Status						
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is i	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
		k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowing is/are rej 7)□ Claim(s) is/are observed is/are observed is/are subject	is/are withdraw owed. ected. jected to.					
Application Papers						
Replacement drawing shee 11) The oath or declaration is	is/are: a) acce hat any objection to the d t(s) including the correction	pted or b) objected to by rawing(s) be held in abeyance. on is required if the drawing(s) if	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2.☐ Certified copies of 3.☐ Copies of the certif	None of: the priority documents the priority documents fied copies of the priorit e International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Appl y documents have been rec (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this Nationa	al Stage		
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (P	TO-152)		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper	No./Mail Date 0		

Application/Control Number: 10/625,976

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12, drawn to a method of using a sun shield, classified in class 296, subclass 97.6.
 - II. Claims 13-21, drawn to a sun shield, classified in class 296, subclass 97.6.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as attachment to other parts of the vehicle including the steering wheel.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A is directed to Figures 5-9, 11-12, 15 and claims 4-7 and 10;

Application/Control Number: 10/625,976

Art Unit: 3612

Species B is directed to Figure 10 and claims 8; and

Species C is directed to Figures 13-14 and claims 11-12.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 9 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to Ted Masters on Tuesday, June 15, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,976

Art Unit: 3612

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. GLENN DAYOAN C(21/04 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600